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Methodology

- Online Survey of Washington State Ferry Riders Opinion Group (FROG) survey panel.

- A total of 5,141 surveys were completed in January 2019 (January 12 – January 28, 2019) with riders that had taken a WSF in the last 12 months.

- Data was weighted by route according to the June 2018 WSF traffic report, based on the last trip taken.

- Total & sub-group sample sizes and definitions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Graph Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,141</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total number of completed surveys system-wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>Vehicle Only</td>
<td>Riders who only boarded the ferry in a vehicle in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,704</td>
<td>Multi-modal</td>
<td>Riders who boarded by both vehicle and another mode in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,681</td>
<td>Walk-on</td>
<td>Riders who boarded on foot in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>Bike-on</td>
<td>Riders who boarded a ferry by bicycle in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Riders who boarded via transit / bus / shuttle in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>Riders who boarded via motorcycle in the last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Registered Carpool</td>
<td>Riders who boarded in a registered van / car pool in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>967</td>
<td>Do train / Bus</td>
<td>Riders who already do a train / bus in combination with the ferries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,571</td>
<td>Might do train / bus</td>
<td>Riders who feel the could / might do train / bus and ferries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,792</td>
<td>Vehicle Drivers</td>
<td>Boarded ferry as vehicle drivers in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,525</td>
<td>Vehicle Passengers</td>
<td>Boarded ferry as vehicle passenger in last 12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary
FERRY FUNDING ISSUES:

- When asked to select a preferred funding source for long-term capital needs from a list of options, “Establishing a new tax in Western Washington ferry served communities” (26%) and “Increase the statewide gas tax” (25%) received the most mentions. They are followed by “Establish a new statewide tax dedicated to funding ferry capital needs” (23%) and “Increase vehicle registration fees” (21%).
  - Seven percent (7%) do not feel WSF has a funding problem and 12% are not sure which source to recommend.

- Establishing a new tax in Western WA ferry served communities tends to be mentioned more often by Seattle/Bremerton (32%) as well as Fauntleroy/Southworth (30%) riders and least often by Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (18%) riders.
  - Increasing the statewide gas tax tends to be mentioned more often by riders on the San Juan routes.

- Without any further information, riders system-wide would be willing to increase the current $0.25/ticket ferry surcharge and pay, on average $0.59 per ticket provided the funds continue to be dedicated to new ferries.
  - Five percent said they would pay zero (they wanted to get rid of the current $0.25/ticket surcharge).

- After reading a short statement about what the current $0.25 surcharge raises and the cost of a new 144 class ferry, six in ten (63%) said they would support increasing the surcharge.
  - In contrast 12% said no and 25% said it depended on the amount of the surcharge.

- With the above statement, riders systemwide would on average be willing to pay a surcharge of $0.73 per ticket provided the funds continue to be dedicated to new ferries.
  - Most notable the $0.00 and $0.25 levels dropped while all other amounts increased from their pre-statement figures.
IMPACT OF PASSENGER TO VEHICLE FARE INCREASE DIFFERENTIAL:

- One in four (23%) riders systemwide feel the impact of lower increases in passenger fares has encouraged their walk-on behavior.
  - One in three (36%) riders systemwide were not aware of the percentage difference in fare increases.

- Only 5% of those riders who currently only drive on (who board as driver or passenger and don’t use any other boarding mode) said they were encouraged to walk on given the differential in fare increases.
  - In comparison, three in ten walkers (30%) and commuters (28%) said the differential in fare increase did encourage them to walk on.

- About one in three systemwide (36%), and in each route used (high of 40% on Fauntleroy/Southworth to low of 33% on San Juan Interisland) as well as by mode (high of 44% for Bike-on riders to a low of 29% for Car/Van pool riders) were not aware of the percentage difference in fare increases.
SUGGESTED SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS:

- When 5,141 WSF riders systemwide were asked which of four broad issues or projects they felt WSF should focus on to improve its service, one in three (32%) said “Expand service / schedules for current routes” followed by “Build new ferries” (21%).
  - “Improve terminal facilities and restructure fares” was cited by one in ten as was the response of “Focus on all of them.”
  - Vashon Island riders (40%) were most supportive of the expand service option.
  - Least likely to mention expand service is Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (26%) and San Juan Interisland (25%).
  - When the results are broken out by the mode, riders have used in the last 12 months, the data finds transit riders (38%) wanting expanded service more than average (32%) and those that only drive onto a ferry in a vehicle (29%) or registered carpool (27%).

- Looking at the build new ferries option, the San Juan routes (38% SJ Interisland, 35% Anacortes/Sidney B.C. 30% Anacortes/San Juan) exceed the systemwide average of 21% who suggested the build new ferry option.
  - Least likely to mention build new ferries is the three direct Vashon routes (13% Point Defiance/Tahlequah to 15% Fauntleroy/Vashon & Southworth/Vashon).
  - When the results are broken out by the mode riders have used in the last 12 months, the data finds those that currently only drive on in a vehicle (no other boarding mode used) suggest the build new ferries option slightly more (26%) than the systemwide average (21%). In comparison, transit riders (11%) were least likely to suggest this option.
POTENTIAL AMENITIES DESIRED:

The 5,141 riders ranked thirteen potential amenities from top priority to do not care about it. The top three highest ranked potential amenities systemwide were “free Wi-Fi” (49% gave it a top-priority or high-priority rating), “park & ride lots” (41% top/high priority) and “designated terminal pickup / drop-off area” (39% top/high priority).

The next group were “ability to use Good to Go account” (37% top/high priority) “overhead walkways for walk-ons” (34% top/high priority), and “charging stations for personal electronics” (23% top/high priority).

The 13 amenities varied considerably when broken out by the boarding mode that riders used.

- The top tier amenity for riders who only drive onto a ferry is “free Wi-Fi” (44%). Second tier amenities are “ability to use Good to Go account” (33%), “park & ride lots” (32%), and “designated terminal pickup and drop-off areas” (26%).

- The top tier desired amenities for multi-modal riders (those who both drive onto a ferry as well as use other boarding modes) are “free Wi-Fi” (50%), “park and ride lots” (44%), and “designated Terminal Pickup & drop-off areas” (41%). Second tier amenities are “ability to use Good to Go account” (36%), overhead walkways for walk-ons” (36%), and “charging stations for personal electronics” (26%).

- The top tier desired amenities for walk-on riders are “free Wi-Fi” (50%), “park & ride lots” (45%), and “designated terminal pickup and drop-off areas” (44%). Second tier amenities are “ability to use Good to Go account” (38%), “overhead walkways to walk-ons” (38%), and “charging stations for personal electronics” (26%).

- The top tier desired amenity for those bike-on riders is “safer loading & unloading for bicycles” (51%). Second tier is “free Wi-Fi” (49%), “designated terminal pickup and drop-off areas” (41%), and “secure bicycle storage areas at terminal” (39%).

- The top tier desired amenity for transit riders is “designated Terminal pickup & drop-off areas” (51%). Second tier amenities are “free Wi-Fi” (48%) and “park & ride lots” (44%).
METHODS FOR ENCOURAGING WALK-ON BEHAVIOR:

- Better transit connections to and from ferry terminals was the top mentioned method of encouraging walk-ons by vehicle boarding respondents both in January 2019 (40%) and in the policy issues survey conducted in Summer 2016 (43%).
  - Similar to 2016, the second most mentioned encouragement for walking on was “lower parking costs at terminal(s).”

- Of the three options tested (*General Pickup and Drop-off Areas, For-hire Ride-sharing Services, and Real Time Parking Availability Information*), having private, for-hire ride-share services consistently at your destination terminal (52%) and real time parking availability information (50%) made about half of all riders who drive a vehicle onto the ferries more likely to consider walking on.
  - Multi-modal commuter riders are less likely to be encouraged to walk-on than the general ridership, for the private for-hire ride-sharing services (45%) and real time parking availability information 42%).
  - Vehicle only users are less motivated by any of the three options tested. For-hire ride sharing (41%) and real time parking availability information (37%) might encourage about four in ten vehicle only users to walk-on.
  - Walk-on riders are more encouraged to continue walking on if improvements are made in for-hire ride-sharing (56%) and real time parking availability information (54%).
  - Over six in ten transit users are encouraged to walk-on by the for-hire ride sharing option (62%) and real time parking availability information (61%).

- About half of all riders (52%) do or could use a train / bus in combination with the ferries.
  - Over four in ten (41%) of those that already do use a train / bus do not feel the schedule adequately matches up.
  - Over two in three (66%) riders who might do a train / bus either feel it does not match up (24%) or are unsure (42%).
Detailed Study Findings
Suggested Service Improvements
A total of 5,141 WSF riders were asked which of four issues/projects they felt WSF should focus on to improve its service. One in three (32%) said expand service / schedules for current routes followed by build new ferries (21%). Improve terminal facilities and restructure fares were cited by one in ten as were the responses of focusing on all of them and other suggested areas to focus on.

Q1 Of these four general areas, in your opinion, which one issue or project should WSF focus on to improve its service? (Check one)
When sorted by the expand service option, the four Vashon routes (40% to 38%) exceed the systemwide average of 32%. Least likely to mention expand service is Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (26%) and San Juan Interisland (25%) with the balance of the routes being about average (34% to 29%).

Q1 Of these four general areas, in your opinion, which one issue or project should WSF focus on to improve its service? (Check one)

Four General Areas WSF Should Concentrate On – By Route
(Ranked by Expand Service)

Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)
- Expand Service: 32%
- Build Ferries: 21%
- Improve Terminals: 10%
- Restructure Fares: 10%
- All of Them: 11%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 5%

Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)
- Expand Service: 40%
- Build Ferries: 15%
- Improve Terminals: 10%
- Restructure Fares: 6%
- All of Them: 11%
- Other Areas: 15%
- Don't Know: 3%

Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)
- Expand Service: 39%
- Build Ferries: 13%
- Improve Terminals: 9%
- Restructure Fares: 9%
- All of Them: 11%
- Other Areas: 18%

Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)
- Expand Service: 38%
- Build Ferries: 19%
- Improve Terminals: 8%
- Restructure Fares: 10%
- All of Them: 12%
- Other Areas: 9%
- Don't Know: 4%

Southworth/Vashon (n=572)
- Expand Service: 38%
- Build Ferries: 15%
- Improve Terminals: 13%
- Restructure Fares: 7%
- All of Them: 10%
- Other Areas: 16%
- Don't Know: 1%

Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)
- Expand Service: 34%
- Build Ferries: 24%
- Improve Terminals: 10%
- Restructure Fares: 10%
- All of Them: 7%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 4%

Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)
- Expand Service: 33%
- Build Ferries: 17%
- Improve Terminals: 11%
- Restructure Fares: 12%
- All of Them: 13%
- Other Areas: 10%
- Don't Know: 4%

Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)
- Expand Service: 33%
- Build Ferries: 21%
- Improve Terminals: 9%
- Restructure Fares: 11%
- All of Them: 11%
- Other Areas: 10%
- Don't Know: 5%

Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)
- Expand Service: 32%
- Build Ferries: 19%
- Improve Terminals: 12%
- Restructure Fares: 10%
- All of Them: 11%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 5%

Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)
- Expand Service: 32%
- Build Ferries: 30%
- Improve Terminals: 7%
- Restructure Fares: 7%
- All of Them: 8%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 5%

Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)
- Expand Service: 29%
- Build Ferries: 23%
- Improve Terminals: 11%
- Restructure Fares: 13%
- All of Them: 8%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 5%

Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)
- Expand Service: 26%
- Build Ferries: 36%
- Improve Terminals: 8%
- Restructure Fares: 6%
- All of Them: 8%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 5%

San Juan Interisland (n=875)
- Expand Service: 25%
- Build Ferries: 38%
- Improve Terminals: 6%
- Restructure Fares: 9%
- All of Them: 6%
- Other Areas: 11%
- Don't Know: 5%

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 2% or less
When the results are broken out by the mode riders have used in the last 12 months, the data finds transit riders (38%) and bike-on riders (35%) want expanded service more than average (32%) and more than those that only drive onto a ferry in a vehicle (29%) or registered carpool (27%).

**Four General Areas WSF Should Concentrate On – By Mode**

(Ranked by Expand Service)

- Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)
  - Expand Service: 32%
  - Build Ferries: 21%
  - Improve Terminals: 10%
  - Restructure Fares: 10%
  - All of Them: 11%
  - Other Areas: 11%
  - Don't Know: 5%

- Transit (n=429)
  - Expand Service: 38%
  - Build Ferries: 11%
  - Improve Terminals: 8%
  - Restructure Fares: 10%
  - All of Them: 18%
  - Other Areas: 14%

- Bike-on (n=450)
  - Expand Service: 35%
  - Build Ferries: 18%
  - Improve Terminals: 14%
  - Restructure Fares: 7%
  - All of Them: 8%
  - Other Areas: 15%

- Vehicle + Other Modes (n=3,704)
  - Expand Service: 33%
  - Build Ferries: 21%
  - Improve Terminals: 11%
  - Restructure Fares: 9%
  - All of Them: 10%
  - Other Areas: 12%

- Walk-on (n=3,681)
  - Expand Service: 32%
  - Build Ferries: 21%
  - Improve Terminals: 11%
  - Restructure Fares: 9%
  - All of Them: 11%
  - Other Areas: 12%

- Motorcycle (n=291)
  - Expand Service: 32%
  - Build Ferries: 19%
  - Improve Terminals: 13%
  - Restructure Fares: 15%
  - All of Them: 8%
  - Other Areas: 10%

- Use Vehicle Only (n=1,300)
  - Expand Service: 29%
  - Build Ferries: 26%
  - Improve Terminals: 5%
  - Restructure Fares: 12%
  - All of Them: 12%
  - Other Areas: 8%

- Registered Carpool (n=91)
  - Expand Service: 27%
  - Build Ferries: 15%
  - Improve Terminals: 10%
  - Restructure Fares: 9%
  - All of Them: 23%
  - Other Areas: 13%

Q1 Of these four general areas, in your opinion, which one issue or project should WSF focus on to improve its service? (Check one)
When sorted by the build ferries option, the San Juan routes (38% to 30%) exceed the systemwide average of 21%. Least likely to mention build ferries is the three direct Vashon routes (15% to 13%) with the balance of the routes being about average (24% to 17%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Four General Areas WSF Should Concentrate On – By Route</th>
<th>Expand Service</th>
<th>Build Ferries</th>
<th>Improve Terminals</th>
<th>Restructure Fares</th>
<th>All of Them</th>
<th>Other Areas</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q1** Of these four general areas, in your opinion, which one issue or project should **WSF** focus on to improve its service? (Check one)
When the results are broken out by the mode riders have used in the last 12 months, the data finds those that only people that drive on in a vehicle (26%) want the build ferries option slightly more than average (21%). In comparison, transit riders (11%) were least likely to cite this option.

Q1 Of these four general areas, in your opinion, which one issue or project should WSF focus on to improve its service? (Check one)

Four General Areas WSF Should Concentrate On – By Mode
(Ranked by Build Ferries)

- Expand Service
- Build Ferries
- Improve Terminals
- Restructure Fares
- All of Them
- Other Areas
- Don't Know

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 2% or less
Potential Amenities Desired
The 5,141 riders ranked thirteen potential amenities from top priority to do not care about it. The top three highest ranked amenities were “free Wi-Fi,” “designated terminal pickup / drop-off area,” and “park & ride lots.” The next group were “ability to use Good to Go account,” “overhead walkways for walk-ons,” and “charging stations for personal electronics.”

### Ranking of Potential Amenities - By Average Priority Statewide (n=5,141)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Amenities Desired</th>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>High Priority</th>
<th>Upper Middle</th>
<th>Lower Middle</th>
<th>Lowest Priority</th>
<th>Do Not Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free WiFi</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated Terminal Pick-up / Drop-off Areas</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Ride Lots</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Use Good to Go Account</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Stations for Personal Electronics</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential Loading for Carpoools</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot Reservations</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heated Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging Stations</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.**
Use Vehicle Only - Ranking of Amenities By Average Priority (n=1,300)

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less
The top tier desired amenities for riders who enter a ferry via a vehicle as well as other modes are “free Wi-Fi,” “designated terminal pickup / drop-off areas,” and “park and ride lots.” Second tier amenities are “ability to use Good to Go account,” “overhead walkways for walk-ons,” and “charging stations for personal electronics.”

### Use Vehicle/Other Modes - Ranking of Amenities By Average Priority
(n=3,704)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Amenities Desired</th>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>High Priority</th>
<th>Upper Middle</th>
<th>Lower Middle</th>
<th>Lowest Priority</th>
<th>Do Not Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free WiFi</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated Terminal Pick-up / Drop-off Areas</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Ride Lots</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Use Good to Go Account</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Stations for Personal Electronics</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot Reservations</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential Loading for Carpools</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heated Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging Stations</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

WSTC FROG - 2019 WSF Policy Study
The top tier desired amenities for walk-on riders are “free Wi-Fi,” “park & ride lots,” and “designated terminal pickup and drop-off areas.” Second tier amenities are “ability to use Good to Go account,” “overhead walkways for walk-ons” and “charging stations for personal electronics.”

### Potential Amenities Desired

**Walkers - Ranking of Amenities By Average Priority**  
(n=3,681)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities</th>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>High Priority</th>
<th>Upper Middle</th>
<th>Lower Middle</th>
<th>Lowest Priority</th>
<th>Do Not Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free WiFi</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Ride Lots</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated Terminal Pick-up / Drop-off Areas</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Use Good to Go Account</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Stations for Personal Electronics</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot Reservations</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential Loading for Carpoools</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heated Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging Stations</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
The top tier desired amenity for those bike-on riders is “safer loading / unloading for bicycles.” Second tier is “free Wi-Fi,” “designated terminal pickup and drop-off areas,” and “secure bicycle storage areas at terminal.” Third tier amenities are “secure bicycle storage areas on boats,” “park and ride lots,” and “ability to use Good to Go account.”

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Potential Amenities Desired

The top tier desired amenity for those transit riders is “designated terminal pickup / drop-off areas.” Second tier amenities are “free Wi-Fi” and “park & ride lots.” Third tier amenities are “overhead walkways for walk-ons,” “charging stations for personal electronics,” and “ability to use Good to Go account.”

Transit Riders - Ranking of Amenities By Average Priority (n=429)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities</th>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>High Priority</th>
<th>Upper Middle</th>
<th>Lower Middle</th>
<th>Lowest Priority</th>
<th>Do Not Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated Terminal Pick-up / Drop-off Areas</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free WiFi</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Ride Lots</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Stations for Personal Electronics</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Use Good to Go Account</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heated Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot Reservations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential Loading for Carpoools</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging Stations</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
The top tier desired amenities for motorcycle riders were “ability to use Good to Go account” and “park & ride lots.” The second tier amenities were “free Wi-Fi,” “designated terminal pick-up and drop off areas,” and “overhead walkways for walk-ons.”

### Motorcycle - Ranking of Amenities By Average Priority

(n=291)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities</th>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>High Priority</th>
<th>Upper Middle</th>
<th>Lower Middle</th>
<th>Lowest Priority</th>
<th>Do Not Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Use Good to Go Account</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Ride Lots</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free WiFi</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated Terminal Pick-up / Drop-off Areas</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Stations for Personal Electronics</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential Loading for Carpoools</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot Reservations</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heated Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging Stations</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
The top tier desired amenities for driving on ferries in Vanpool / Carpool were “park & ride lots,” “preferential loading for carpools,” and “designated terminal pickup and drop off areas.” The second tier amenities included “free Wi-Fi,” “overhead walkways for walk-ons,” and “ability to use Good to Go accounts.”

**Carpool - Ranking of Amenities By Average Priority**

(n=91)

- **Park & Ride Lots**: 35% Top Priority, 23% High Priority, 25% Upper Middle, 12% Lower Middle, 5% Lowest Priority
- **Preferential Loading for Carpools**: 37% Top Priority, 26% High Priority, 14% Upper Middle, 10% Lower Middle, 8% Lowest Priority
- **Designated Terminal Pick-up / Drop-off Areas**: 17% Top Priority, 29% High Priority, 32% Upper Middle, 13% Lower Middle, 9% Lowest Priority
- **Free WiFi**: 23% Top Priority, 17% High Priority, 29% Upper Middle, 17% Lower Middle, 4% Lowest Priority
- **Overhead Walkways for Walk-ons**: 17% Top Priority, 29% High Priority, 21% Upper Middle, 14% Lower Middle, 7% Lowest Priority
- **Ability to Use Good to Go Account**: 17% Top Priority, 20% High Priority, 17% Upper Middle, 25% Lower Middle, 12% Lowest Priority
- **Charging Stations for Personal Electronics**: 4% Top Priority, 15% High Priority, 16% Upper Middle, 31% Lower Middle, 17% Lowest Priority
- **Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles**: 5% Top Priority, 14% High Priority, 21% Upper Middle, 13% Lower Middle, 26% Lowest Priority
- **Parking Lot Reservations**: 10% Top Priority, 17% High Priority, 19% Upper Middle, 31% Lower Middle, 21% Lowest Priority
- **Heated Walkways for Walk-ons**: 6% Top Priority, 7% High Priority, 11% Upper Middle, 21% Lower Middle, 28% Lowest Priority
- **Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals**: 5% Top Priority, 23% High Priority, 25% Upper Middle, 22% Lower Middle, 25% Lowest Priority
- **Secure Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats**: 17% Top Priority, 25% High Priority, 29% Upper Middle, 26% Lower Middle, 38% Lowest Priority
- **Electric Vehicle Charging Stations**: 5% Top Priority, 6% High Priority, 27% Upper Middle, 23% Lower Middle, 38% Lowest Priority

**Q2** Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Potential Amenities Desired

All routes had approximately the same level of desire for park & ride lots except perhaps Anacortes/San Juan and San Juan Interisland.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Overhead walkways for walk-ons tends to be desired more on the Seattle/Bremerton, Seattle/Bainbridge, and Edmonds/Kingston routes followed by Mukilteo/Clinton, Fauntleroy/Southworth, and Coupeville/Port Townsend.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Amenities Desired</th>
<th>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</th>
<th>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</th>
<th>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</th>
<th>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</th>
<th>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</th>
<th>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</th>
<th>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</th>
<th>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</th>
<th>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</td>
<td>11% 23% 21% 18% 13% 14%</td>
<td>15% 28% 22% 19% 9% 7%</td>
<td>13% 29% 21% 18% 9% 10%</td>
<td>11% 27% 23% 19% 10% 10%</td>
<td>12% 21% 22% 18% 15% 12%</td>
<td>9% 21% 24% 23% 13% 10%</td>
<td>9% 24% 21% 20% 13% 13%</td>
<td>7% 20% 22% 21% 17% 13%</td>
<td>8% 17% 22% 20% 16% 17%</td>
<td>6% 19% 21% 20% 15% 19%</td>
<td>8% 17% 14% 20% 18% 23%</td>
<td>7% 18% 14% 20% 18% 23%</td>
<td>7% 8% 13% 23% 20% 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heated walkways for walk-ons tends to be desired more on the Seattle/Bremerton route over all other routes.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Amenities Desired</th>
<th>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</th>
<th>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</th>
<th>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</th>
<th>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</th>
<th>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</th>
<th>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</th>
<th>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</th>
<th>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</th>
<th>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heated Walkways for Walk-ons</td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="chart.png" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less.
Free Wi-Fi tends to be desired more on the Seattle/Bremerton route over all other routes. It is least desired on the Point Defiance/Tahlequah route.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

**Secure Bicycle Storage Areas at Terminals**

- Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)
  - 10% Top Priority
  - 16% High Priority
  - 22% Upper Middle Priority
  - 20% Lower Middle Priority
  - 29% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)
  - 12% Top Priority
  - 18% High Priority
  - 22% Upper Middle Priority
  - 22% Lower Middle Priority
  - 23% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)
  - 13% Top Priority
  - 20% High Priority
  - 21% Upper Middle Priority
  - 17% Lower Middle Priority
  - 27% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- San Juan Interisland (n=875)
  - 12% Top Priority
  - 22% High Priority
  - 23% Upper Middle Priority
  - 14% Lower Middle Priority
  - 28% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)
  - 9% Top Priority
  - 21% High Priority
  - 24% Upper Middle Priority
  - 25% Lower Middle Priority
  - 20% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)
  - 12% Top Priority
  - 17% High Priority
  - 21% Upper Middle Priority
  - 18% Lower Middle Priority
  - 29% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)
  - 10% Top Priority
  - 17% High Priority
  - 23% Upper Middle Priority
  - 20% Lower Middle Priority
  - 28% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)
  - 10% Top Priority
  - 15% High Priority
  - 22% Upper Middle Priority
  - 19% Lower Middle Priority
  - 31% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)
  - 9% Top Priority
  - 14% High Priority
  - 26% Upper Middle Priority
  - 18% Lower Middle Priority
  - 30% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)
  - 10% Top Priority
  - 17% High Priority
  - 25% Upper Middle Priority
  - 17% Lower Middle Priority
  - 30% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)
  - 9% Top Priority
  - 17% High Priority
  - 20% Upper Middle Priority
  - 21% Lower Middle Priority
  - 30% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Southworth/Vashon (n=572)
  - 9% Top Priority
  - 17% High Priority
  - 28% Upper Middle Priority
  - 21% Lower Middle Priority
  - 27% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

- Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)
  - 9% Top Priority
  - 17% High Priority
  - 24% Upper Middle Priority
  - 22% Lower Middle Priority
  - 27% Lowest Priority
  - 3% Do Not Care

**NOTE:** Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less.
Secure bicycle storage areas on boats tends to be desired about the same across all routes.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

**Secured Bicycle Storage Areas on Boats**

Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)

- 6% Top Priority
- 14% High Priority
- 22% Upper Middle Priority
- 26% Lower Middle Priority
- 30% Lowest Priority

Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)

- 4% Top Priority
- 8% High Priority
- 18% Upper Middle Priority
- 23% Lower Middle Priority
- 24% Lowest Priority

Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)

- 8% Top Priority
- 14% High Priority
- 30% Upper Middle Priority
- 23% Lower Middle Priority
- 22% Lowest Priority

San Juan Interisland (n=875)

- 11% Top Priority
- 15% High Priority
- 26% Upper Middle Priority
- 18% Lower Middle Priority
- 28% Lowest Priority

Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)

- 4% Top Priority
- 7% High Priority
- 15% Upper Middle Priority
- 20% Lower Middle Priority
- 28% Lowest Priority

Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)

- 4% Top Priority
- 6% High Priority
- 16% Upper Middle Priority
- 22% Lower Middle Priority
- 28% Lowest Priority

Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)

- 6% Top Priority
- 14% High Priority
- 23% Upper Middle Priority
- 26% Lower Middle Priority
- 28% Lowest Priority

Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)

- 6% Top Priority
- 14% High Priority
- 25% Upper Middle Priority
- 28% Lower Middle Priority
- 25% Lowest Priority

Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)

- 7% Top Priority
- 13% High Priority
- 22% Upper Middle Priority
- 27% Lower Middle Priority
- 29% Lowest Priority

Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)

- 7% Top Priority
- 15% High Priority
- 22% Upper Middle Priority
- 24% Lower Middle Priority
- 30% Lowest Priority

Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)

- 6% Top Priority
- 14% High Priority
- 22% Upper Middle Priority
- 29% Lower Middle Priority
- 28% Lowest Priority

Southworth/Vashon (n=572)

- 7% Top Priority
- 12% High Priority
- 21% Upper Middle Priority
- 28% Lower Middle Priority
- 30% Lowest Priority

Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)

- 5% Top Priority
- 12% High Priority
- 23% Upper Middle Priority
- 28% Lower Middle Priority
- 31% Lowest Priority

**NOTE:** Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less
Preferential loading for carpools tends to be desired about the same across all routes.

### Preferential Loading for Carpools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</th>
<th>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</th>
<th>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</th>
<th>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</th>
<th>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</th>
<th>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</th>
<th>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</th>
<th>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</th>
<th>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Potential Amenities Desired

Designated terminal pickup / drop-off areas tends to be more desired on the Seattle/Bremerton and Seattle/Bainbridge routes and least desired on the San Juan Interisland and Anacortes/San Juan routes.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Parking lot reservations are least desired on the Point Defiance/Tahlequah and Southworth/Vashon routes.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

Potential Amenities Desired

Parking Lot Reservations

Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)

Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)

Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)

Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)

Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)

Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)

Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)

Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)

San Juan Interisland (n=875)

Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)

Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)

Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)

Southworth/Vashon (n=572)

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less
### Charging Stations for Personal Electronics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Amenities Desired</th>
<th>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</th>
<th>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</th>
<th>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</th>
<th>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</th>
<th>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</th>
<th>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</th>
<th>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</th>
<th>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</th>
<th>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charging Stations for Personal Electronics</td>
<td>7% 16% 26% 21% 15% 15%</td>
<td>14% 23% 26% 18% 11% 8%</td>
<td>7% 21% 30% 20% 11% 11%</td>
<td>7% 20% 26% 21% 14% 12%</td>
<td>6% 20% 22% 22% 15% 15%</td>
<td>6% 18% 25% 22% 14% 15%</td>
<td>5% 15% 29% 22% 14% 15%</td>
<td>5% 16% 23% 27% 19% 10%</td>
<td>5% 16% 24% 21% 18% 16%</td>
<td>6% 14% 21% 24% 17% 18%</td>
<td>6% 13% 22% 22% 18% 19%</td>
<td>5% 15% 19% 21% 20% 20%</td>
<td>4% 8% 23% 22% 22% 21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.
Ability to use the Good to Go account is most desired on the Vashon triangle routes followed by the Seattle routes as well as Edmonds/Kingston.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)

Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)

Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)

Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)

Southworth/Vashon (n=572)

Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)

Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)

Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)

Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)

Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)

Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)

Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)

San Juan Interisland (n=875)
Desire for safe loading / unloading for bicycles is uniform across all routes.

Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

Potential Amenities Desired

Safer Loading / Unloading for Bicycles

Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)

Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)

Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)

Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)

Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)

San Juan Interisland (n=875)

Southworth/Vashon (n=572)

Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)

Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)

Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)

Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)

Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)

Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less
Q2 Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you, or you can rank it anywhere in-between.

Potential Amenities Desired

Electric vehicle charging stations is uniform across all routes.

Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)

- Top Priority: 6%
- High Priority: 13%
- Upper Middle Priority: 22%
- Lower Middle Priority: 24%
- Lowest Priority: 33%

Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)

- Top Priority: 6%
- High Priority: 20%
- Upper Middle Priority: 28%
- Lower Middle Priority: 22%
- Lowest Priority: 21%

Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)

- Top Priority: 8%
- High Priority: 17%
- Upper Middle Priority: 19%
- Lower Middle Priority: 25%
- Lowest Priority: 28%

San Juan Interisland (n=875)

- Top Priority: 8%
- High Priority: 19%
- Upper Middle Priority: 21%
- Lower Middle Priority: 21%
- Lowest Priority: 29%

Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)

- Top Priority: 7%
- High Priority: 14%
- Upper Middle Priority: 22%
- Lower Middle Priority: 26%
- Lowest Priority: 30%

Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)

- Top Priority: 7%
- High Priority: 11%
- Upper Middle Priority: 22%
- Lower Middle Priority: 24%
- Lowest Priority: 33%

Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)

- Top Priority: 6%
- High Priority: 9%
- Upper Middle Priority: 24%
- Lower Middle Priority: 26%
- Lowest Priority: 32%

Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)

- Top Priority: 6%
- High Priority: 11%
- Upper Middle Priority: 21%
- Lower Middle Priority: 27%
- Lowest Priority: 33%

Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)

- Top Priority: 6%
- High Priority: 14%
- Upper Middle Priority: 20%
- Lower Middle Priority: 25%
- Lowest Priority: 33%

Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)

- Top Priority: 7%
- High Priority: 15%
- Upper Middle Priority: 19%
- Lower Middle Priority: 26%
- Lowest Priority: 32%

Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)

- Top Priority: 14%
- High Priority: 23%
- Upper Middle Priority: 23%
- Lower Middle Priority: 36%
- Lowest Priority: 33%

Southworth/Vashon (n=572)

- Top Priority: 4%
- High Priority: 12%
- Upper Middle Priority: 25%
- Lower Middle Priority: 25%
- Lowest Priority: 33%

Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)

- Top Priority: 11%
- High Priority: 26%
- Upper Middle Priority: 25%
- Lower Middle Priority: 35%
- Lowest Priority: 35%

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 3% or less.
Attitudes Towards WSF
Over three in four (78%) riders are concerned over WSF’s carbon footprint. Over one in four (26%) are very concerned while 14% are not at all concerned and 8% don’t know the impact.

Q3 How concerned are you about WSF’s carbon footprint and WSF’s general impact on the environment? Would you say you are … (select one)
For the most part, riders of all routes have some level of concern over WSF carbon footprint.

**Concern Over WSF’s Carbon Footprint**

- **Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)**
  - Very Concerned: 26%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 34%
  - Slightly Concerned: 18%
  - Not At All Concerned: 14%
  - Don't Know Impact: 8%

- **Southworth/Vashon (n=572)**
  - Very Concerned: 35%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 32%
  - Slightly Concerned: 16%
  - Not At All Concerned: 10%
  - Don't Know Impact: 7%

- **Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)**
  - Very Concerned: 34%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 31%
  - Slightly Concerned: 17%
  - Not At All Concerned: 11%
  - Don't Know Impact: 7%

- **Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)**
  - Very Concerned: 33%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 30%
  - Slightly Concerned: 19%
  - Not At All Concerned: 11%
  - Don't Know Impact: 7%

- **Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)**
  - Very Concerned: 31%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 30%
  - Slightly Concerned: 16%
  - Not At All Concerned: 12%
  - Don't Know Impact: 11%

- **San Juan Interisland (n=875)**
  - Very Concerned: 31%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 32%
  - Slightly Concerned: 21%
  - Not At All Concerned: 8%
  - Don't Know Impact: 8%

- **Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)**
  - Very Concerned: 29%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 37%
  - Slightly Concerned: 17%
  - Not At All Concerned: 9%
  - Don't Know Impact: 8%

- **Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)**
  - Very Concerned: 28%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 33%
  - Slightly Concerned: 19%
  - Not At All Concerned: 13%
  - Don't Know Impact: 7%

- **Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)**
  - Very Concerned: 26%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 35%
  - Slightly Concerned: 19%
  - Not At All Concerned: 12%
  - Don't Know Impact: 8%

- **Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)**
  - Very Concerned: 25%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 33%
  - Slightly Concerned: 20%
  - Not At All Concerned: 14%
  - Don't Know Impact: 8%

- **Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)**
  - Very Concerned: 25%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 34%
  - Slightly Concerned: 19%
  - Not At All Concerned: 15%
  - Don't Know Impact: 7%

- **Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)**
  - Very Concerned: 23%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 35%
  - Slightly Concerned: 17%
  - Not At All Concerned: 16%
  - Don't Know Impact: 9%

- **Seattle/Bremerton (n=1,393)**
  - Very Concerned: 22%
  - Somewhat Concerned: 35%
  - Slightly Concerned: 17%
  - Not At All Concerned: 17%
  - Don't Know Impact: 9%

**Q3** How concerned are you about WSF's **carbon footprint** and WSF's **general impact on the environment**? Would you say you are ... (select one)
Those that ride a bicycle onto the ferries have the highest concern for WSF’s carbon footprint. Those that only drive on and motorcycle riders have the lowest level of concern for WSF carbon footprint.

Q3 How concerned are you about WSF’s carbon footprint and WSF’s general impact on the environment? Would you say you are … (select one)
Attitudes Towards WSF Funding
When asked to recommend a funding source for long-term capital needs, “establishing a new tax in Western WA ferry served communities” and “increase the statewide gas tax” received the most mentions. They are followed by “establish a new statewide tax dedicated to funding ferry capital needs” and “increase vehicle registration fees.” Seven percent (7%) do not feel WSF has a funding problem and 12% are not sure.

Q4 Current ferry fares fund about 70-75% of ferry operations and maintenance of vessels and terminals but do not provide for long-term capital improvements or replacement (these could include non-operational items like new vessels, terminals, parking structure, etc.). Which of the following increases in funding sources, if any, would you recommend be explored and possibly considered to fund the long-term capital needs of the ferry system? (Please check as many as you would like to see used)
Establishing a new tax in Western WA ferry served communities tends to be mentioned more often by Seattle/Bremerton as well as Fauntleroy/Southworth riders and least often by Anacortes/Sidney B.C. riders.

Q4 Current ferry fares fund about 70-75% of ferry operations and maintenance of vessels and terminals but do not provide for long-term capital improvements or replacement (these could include non-operational items like new vessels, terminals, parking structure, etc.). Which of the following increases in funding sources, if any, would you recommend be explored and possibly considered to fund the long-term capital needs of the ferry system? (Please check as many as you would like to see used)

### Funding Source Recommended to be Explored

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</th>
<th>26%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>23%</th>
<th>21%</th>
<th>15%</th>
<th>9%</th>
<th>8%</th>
<th>7%</th>
<th>12%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 4% or less
Increasing the statewide gas tax tends to be mentioned more often by the San Juan routes.

Q4 Current ferry fares fund about 70-75% of ferry operations and maintenance of vessels and terminals but do not provide for long-term capital improvements or replacement (these could include non-operational items like new vessels, terminals, parking structure, etc.). Which of the following increases in funding sources, if any, would you recommend be explored and possibly considered to fund the long-term capital needs of the ferry system? (Please check as many as you would like to see used)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source Recommended to be Explored</th>
<th>Overall Systemwide (n=5,141)</th>
<th>San Juan Interisland (n=875)</th>
<th>Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367)</th>
<th>Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872)</th>
<th>Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511)</th>
<th>Southworth/Vashon (n=572)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810)</th>
<th>Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982)</th>
<th>Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481)</th>
<th>Seattle/Bremerton (n=976)</th>
<th>Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608)</th>
<th>Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish a New Tax In Western Washington Ferry Served Communities</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase The Statewide Gas Tax</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish A New Statewide Tax Dedicated To Funding Ferry Capital Needs</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Vehicle Registration Fees</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Funding Source WSF Should Explore (Such As ..)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the Statewide Sales Tax</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the Above</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't think WSF Has A Funding Problem</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Sure</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 4% or less.
Riders on average said $0.59 when asked how much more they would be willing to pay, provided the funds continue to be dedicated to new ferries. Five percent said zero while five percent said $1.50 with twenty-two percent saying $1.00. The largest group said fifty cents.

Q5 Currently a surcharge of $0.25 per ticket is dedicated to help fund new ferries. How much more would you be willing to pay, provided this funding continues to be dedicated to new ferries?
Six in ten (63%) support increasing the surcharge when given a short description of what the $0.25 raises plus what the cost of a new 144-car ferry is. In contrast 12% said no and 25% said it depended on the amount of the surcharge. This time when given the same surcharge fee points, the average increased to $0.73 from the pre-description $0.59 average. Most notable, the $0.00 and $0.25 levels dropped while all other amounts increased from their pre-statement figures.

Q6a At $.025 per ticket the surcharge will raise about $4 million per year. The cost of a new 144-car ferry costs about $150 million. Knowing this, would you support the surcharge of $0.25 per ticket being increased if it continues to be dedicated to new ferries? Q6b Up to what amount could you support the surcharge being increased to?
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior
Better transit connections to and from ferry terminals was the top mentioned method of encouraging walk-ons by respondents both in 2019 and in 2016 when the question was first asked. Similar to 2016, the second most mentioned encouragement for walking on was “lower parking costs at terminal(s).”

### Encourage Walk-on Behavior – From General List of Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>2019 January (n=5,004)</th>
<th>2016 Summer (n=4,826)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Transit Connections To / From Ferry Terminals</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Parking Costs At Terminal(s)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Walk On Fares</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Things Would Motivate Me To Walk-on</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability Of Rental Car At Arrival Terminal</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Drive On Fares</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing - We Would Have Always Driven On - Not Practical To Ever Walk</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing - Already Walk On Most of The Time</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing - Could Walk - But Not Motivated</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q15 What, if anything, would encourage you to walk on rather than drive on?**
Having private, for-hire ride-share services consistently at your destination terminal and real time parking availability information made about half of all riders who drive a vehicle onto the ferries more likely to consider walking on.

**Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving/Providing ...**

*(All Riders Who Drove On at Least Once n=5,004)*

- **General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals**
  - Considerably More Likely: 7%
  - Would be More Likely: 11%
  - Slightly More Likely: 23%
  - Not at All More Likely: 59%

- **Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal**
  - Considerably More Likely: 8%
  - Would be More Likely: 16%
  - Slightly More Likely: 29%
  - Not at All More Likely: 50%

- **Real Time Parking Availability Information**
  - Considerably More Likely: 8%
  - Would be More Likely: 15%
  - Slightly More Likely: 26%
  - Not at All More Likely: 48%

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be... (select one)
Commuter riders who drove on at least once are less likely to be encouraged to walk-on than the general ridership, particularly for “private for-hire ride-sharing services consistently at your destination terminal” and “real time parking availability information.”

Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving/Providing ...

(All Commuter Riders* Who Drove On at Least Once n=963)

- **Considerably More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 6%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 6%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 11%

- **Would be More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 13%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 16%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 23%

- **Slightly More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 23%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 17%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 11%

- **Not at All Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 58%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 55%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 61%

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be.. (select one)
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior

Vehicle only users are less motivated by any of the three options tested than all riders. For-hire ride sharing (41%) and real time parking availability information (37%) might encourage four in ten vehicle only users to walk-on.

Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...  
(Use Vehicle Only* – For All Trip Purpose Types n=1,300)

- General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals
- Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal
- Real Time Parking Availability Information

* NOTE: Only Drive Vehicle On Ferry As Either Driver Or Passenger

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be... (select one)
Encouragement was lower for all three aspects for commuters who only drive on. Of the three methods, general pickup and drop-off areas at terminals would not encourage any walk-on behavior.

**Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior**

*Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...*  
(Use Vehicle Only – Last Trip Was For Commuting N=95*)

- **Considerably More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 0%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 6%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 4%

- **Would be More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 2%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 7%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 7%

- **Slightly More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 19%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 25%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 7%

- **Not at All More Likely**
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 68%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 71%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 91%

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be... (select one)
Riders who use multiple modes of transportation on Ferries are more encouraged than average by all three options. For-hire ride share (55%) and real time parking availability information (54%) encouraged mixed mode users the most.

**Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...**

(Multi-modal - Mixed Vehicle & Other Modes Used* – For All Trip Purpose Types n=3,704)

- Considerably More Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 9%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 12%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 23%

- Would be More Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 17%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 29%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 56%

- Slightly More Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 28%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 45%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 46%

- Not at All More Likely

*NOTE: Riders Who Both Drive-on And Do Another Travel Mode In The Last 12 Months.*

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be.. (select one)
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior

Approximately four in ten of those commuters that drive on as well as some other mode would be encouraged to walk-on more for any one of the three aspects. This group had a higher likelihood of walking on for the general pickup and drop off areas at terminals aspect.

Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving/Providing …

(Multi-modal - Mixed Vehicle/Other Modes Used* - Last Trip Was For Commuting n=868)

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if … (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be.. (select one)

- Considerably More Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 12%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 12%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 18%

- Would be More Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 17%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 14%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 23%

- Slightly More Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 23%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 23%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 58%

- Not at All Likely
  - General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals: 54%
  - Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal: 57%
  - Real Time Parking Availability Information: 57%

* NOTE: Riders Who Both Drive-on And Do Another Travel Mode In The Last 12 Months And Last Trip Purpose Was Commuting
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior

Walk-on riders are more encouraged to continue walking on if improvements are made in for-hire ride-sharing (56%) and real time parking availability information (54%).

Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...

(Walk-on Sometimes* - For All Trip Purpose Types n=3,983)

- General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals
- Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal
- Real Time Parking Availability Information

* NOTE: Riders Who Have Walked Onto A Ferry In The Last 12 Months

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be.. (select one)
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior

Walk-on riders whose last ride was for commuting purposes are less encouraged by all three options than those that walk-on for any purpose. All three options encouraged about four in ten walk-on commuter riders.

### Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...

**Walk-on Sometimes* - Last Trip Was For Commuting n=840**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Not at All More Likely</th>
<th>Slightly More Likely</th>
<th>Would be More Likely</th>
<th>Considerably More Likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistently at Your Destination Terminal</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Time Parking Availability Information</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NOTE: Riders Who Have Walked Onto A Ferry In The Last 12 Months And Last Trip Purpose Was Commuting

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be... (select one)
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior

Over six in ten transit users are encouraged by the for-hire ride sharing option (62%) and real time parking availability information (61%) to walk-on.

Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...
(Use Transit Sometimes* – For All Trip Purpose Types n=422)

- General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals
  - Considerably More Likely: 19%
  - Would be More Likely: 12%
  - Slightly More Likely: 11%
  - Not at All More Likely: 12%

- Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal
  - Considerably More Likely: 19%
  - Would be More Likely: 21%
  - Slightly More Likely: 22%
  - Not at All More Likely: 21%

- Real Time Parking Availability Information
  - Considerably More Likely: 30%
  - Would be More Likely: 27%
  - Slightly More Likely: 15%
  - Not at All More Likely: 19%

Q16/17/18  Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved?  (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal?  (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be... (select one)

* NOTE: Riders Who Have Used Transit In Connection With A Ferry In The Last 12 Months.
Methods For Encouraging Walk-on Behavior

Even with a small sample size the data would suggest that all three options would encourage walk-on behavior for those riders who use transit in combination with ferries to commute to work.

Encourage Walk-on Behavior By Improving / Providing ...
(Use Transit Sometimes* – Last Trip Was For Commuting n=82)

- General Pick-up and Drop-off Areas at Terminals
  - Considerably More Likely: 1%
  - Would be More Likely: 46%
  - Slightly More Likely: 15%
  - Not at All More Likely: 38%

- Private, For-hire Ride-sharing Services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) Consistently at Your Destination Terminal
  - Considerably More Likely: 0%
  - Would be More Likely: 26%
  - Slightly More Likely: 35%
  - Not at All More Likely: 43%

- Real Time Parking Availability Information
  - Considerably More Likely: 44%
  - Would be More Likely: 31%
  - Slightly More Likely: 5%
  - Not at All More Likely: 1%

*NOTE: Small Sample Size.
Riders Who Have Used Transit In Connection With A Ferry In The Last 12 Months And Last Trip Purpose Was Commuting

Q16/17/18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if ... (Q16) the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? (Q17) there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/taxis) consistently at your destination terminal? (Q18) there was real time parking availability information? Would you say you would be... (select one)
One in four riders systemwide feel the impact of a lower passenger to vehicle increase fare percentage has encouraged walk-on behavior. In comparison 5% of riders who only drive on said it did while three in ten walkers and commuters said it did. About one in three systemwide, and in each group, were not aware of the percentage difference in fare increases.

**Impact of Lower Passenger to Vehicle Increase Fare Percentage on Encouraging Walk-on Behavior**

**Q19** Over the past few years, passenger fares have been going up at a lower rate than vehicle fares. Has this **encouraged** you, or not, to travel more as a foot passenger or to carpool?
About half of all riders (52%) use or could use a train / bus in combination with the ferries. Over four in ten (41%) of those that already do use a train / bus do not feel the schedule adequately matches up with their train / bus connection (37%) or are unsure (4%). In comparison, 66% of those that might do a train / bus either feel it does not match up (24%) or are unsure (42%).

Make Either a Train and/or Bus Connection As Part Of Your Trip (n=5,141)

- Already Do Train / Bus (n=967) 37%
- Could / Might Do Train / Bus (n=1,571) 32%
- Train / Bus Not Available To Where I Need To Go 11%
- Would Never Do Train / Bus 20%

Current Ferry Schedule Adequately Match Up With Train / Bus Connection

- Already Do Train / Bus (n=967)
  - Yes - They Match 60%
  - No - They Do Not Match 36%
  - Not Sure (Don't Know Train / Bus Schedule) 4%

- Might Do Train / Bus (n=1,571)
  - Yes - They Match 34%
  - No - They Do Not Match 24%
  - Not Sure (Don't Know Train / Bus Schedule) 42%

Q20 Do you or could you make either a train and/or bus connection as part of your trip on the ferry? Would you say: 1) Already Do Train / Bus Today As Part Of My Ferry Travel, 2) Could / Might Do Train / Bus in The Future As Part Of My Ferry Travel, 3) I Would Never Do Train / Bus As Part Of My Ferry Travel (But It Is Available), or 4) Can't Do Train / Bus As They Are Not Available To Where I Need To Go? Q21 Does the current ferry schedule (departures/arrivals) on the route you take most often adequately match up with your needed (or potentially needed) train or bus connection?
Passenger Only Ferry Service
When asked if they would like to see passenger only ferry service expanded, one in four (24%) say “not really” throughout the WSF system. Most likely to say “not really” are riders on Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (36%), San Juan Interisland (36%), Anacortes/San Juan (33%), and Mukilteo/Clinton (31%).

Q22 Would you like to see passenger only ferry service expanded throughout the Puget Sound (including the in San Juans)?
When asked if they would like to see passenger only ferry service expanded, four in ten (39%) say “yes and that it should be systemwide.” Systemwide desire is highest in the Point Defiance/Tahlequah (49%), Fauntleroy/Southworth (48%), and Southworth/Vashon routes (47%). Yes for just central Puget Sound is highest among riders on Fauntleroy/Southworth (27%), Seattle/Bremerton (27%), and Seattle/Bainbridge (27%).

Q22 Would you like to see passenger only ferry service expanded throughout the Puget Sound (including the in San Juans)?

Expand Passenger Only Ferry Service
(Sorted by Yes – Systemwide Response)

- Overall Systemwide (n=5,151): 39% Yes, 24% Not Really, 21% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511): 49% Yes, 15% Not Really, 19% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608): 48% Yes, 15% Not Really, 27% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Southworth/Vashon (n=572): 47% Yes, 15% Not Really, 25% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Seattle/Bremerton (n=976): 45% Yes, 17% Not Really, 27% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810): 44% Yes, 18% Not Really, 25% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982): 43% Yes, 18% Not Really, 27% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004): 42% Yes, 18% Not Really, 24% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481): 40% Yes, 24% Not Really, 17% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255): 34% Yes, 31% Not Really, 16% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Anacortes/Sidney B.C. (n=367): 34% Yes, 36% Not Really, 13% Maybe - Depends on ...
- San Juan Interisland (n=875): 33% Yes, 36% Not Really, 14% Maybe - Depends on ...
- Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872): 32% Yes, 33% Not Really, 15% Maybe - Depends on ...

NOTE: Bars shown without % numbers are 2% or less.
Four in ten (39%) say they would want WSF to operate the fleet of passenger only vessels. Those dissatisfied with WSF were more likely to mention “County Government / Transit Agencies (16%)” than those satisfied with WSF (6%).

Q23 If a fleet of passenger only vessels were added to the routes that you typically use, who would you most want to operate them?
Additional Policy Changes and Comments
Four in ten (41%) of all respondents gave some sort of suggestion on WSF policy changes they would like to see. The recommendations were split evenly between Terminal Issues (22%), Fare Issues (22%) and Schedule Issues (20%).

**Example comments included:**

- WSDOT does not seem to like coordinating with local transit agencies much - local agencies seem to be the only ones adjusting their schedules to meet the WSDOT vessels.
- I've seen too many instances of people (in cars) cutting in ferry lines and the toll booth employees have no authority to have those people removed from lines.
- The Triangle route between Fauntleroy/Vashon/Southworth is clearly broken. There needs to be ways of loading faster (Good 2 Go, ticket bypass lane).
- Better coordination with light rail options are badly needed if you want to get people out of their cars. Also, there are NO parking options at Mukilteo; this is a terrible situation.
- Charge higher fares, build more ferries, add weekend service for passenger-only ferries, increase service hours on all routes.
- I understand WSF desire to make car fees higher and encourage walk-ons, but the car fees are far too expensive. Tourists should be charged a higher fee and non-commuters pay a surcharge.
- More finely divided fares according to vehicle length, to greater advantage smaller cars and trucks.
- Discount for Whidbey Island residents. Cheaper parking monthly. Lower ferry fare. Passes that cover the whole month. Accurate wait times. Automated ticket booths so catching the ferry isn’t dependent on how talkative the booth person is. More sailing to Whidbey and larger boats.
- I would expand the fleet by six new ferries to allow rotation of vessels for routine maintenance without causing disruption of ferry schedules. Two of the new ferries should be allocated as Stand by one to the San Juan Islands and one to Puget Sound so ferries do not have to be moved around and disrupt normal schedules.
- There needs to be more crossings per day to keep the waiting lines down.
- Better loading at Fauntleroy. We need two lanes. One for Vashon and one for Southworth. I realize that this is a challenge due to the narrow roadway. Add additional passenger only between Southworth and downtown.

**Q24** What additional **suggestion or changes in WSF policy** would you like to offer WSF and state decision makers that you think would **improve or strengthen the quality of service** WSF provides?
All respondents were asked if they had any additional thoughts regarding WSF or other transportation issues. Not surprisingly, given the WSF policy issues in the survey, most comments revolved around WSF.

Example comments included:

- Every taxpayer in WA should pay taxes to fund the ferries as part of our state highway system; we need tax increases to offset the loss of revenue resulting from Tim Eymen’s deeply misguided and self-serving efforts to roll back taxes.
- Planning for new ferries should be a high priority for system-wide operations. Continue with improvements to existing terminals. Coordinate schedules with land transportation providers where ever possible.
- I realize the ferry workers are well trained….but I believe they could use additional training in customer service. I have seen them lose their tempers and treat customers rudely when it was unnecessary.
- Widen the walkways. Bring the loading/off-loading process into the 21st century. Thank you.
- Automation of toll booths; automated way of vehicle measurement, drive up verify passengers, and either automatic good to go pass read or swipe card to pay. Getting through the toll booths really slows things down. More reservations on routes would be great too, buy tickets in advance.
- Do not build more ferries designed like the ones on Coupeville Port Townsend route….poor design in many ways. What were you thinking? The old ones were better.
- The WSF system is not really accountable to anyone, thus also not to the public. The top officials should have to be elected or at least have an elected commission!
- The Samish has VERY uncomfortable bench seats. Please add to the specs for future ferries that the benches are padded on top of a firm FLAT surface. Also, the heating systems alternates heat and then COLD blowing out of the vents. Please eliminate the cold in the specs for future ferries if it can't be fixed on existing Olympic class boats (Samish in particular).
- I attended the open house for long range plan and the argument made from the audience for keeping the Tillicum on the San Juan interisland instead of the Sealth was compelling. It should be given significant review.
- Fund the development of legitimate ridership models. Don’t rely on dock workers estimates of loadings - put in place technology that will count vehicles - that is the only way you will ever truly know how to measure your resource (car spaces) performance.
- The mission of the ferry is to transport VEHICLES, not bikes or pedestrians. The routes are already crowded and the wait times are getting worse. Raise the fares and make the riders pay for the new ferries, add more ferries to each route. A designated Good To Go lane at ticketing would expedite that. Better crew training for loading and unloading so it happens much faster.

Q25 Do you have any additional thoughts regarding the ferry system or Washington state’s transportation system you would like to share?
Satisfaction With WSF
Overall satisfaction (75%) with the service provided by WSF is strong (44% Satisfied / 31% Extremely Satisfied) and is consistent with Winter 2018. Dissatisfaction increased 3 percentage points in 2019 to 19%, with slightly more extremely dissatisfied (+1% point) and somewhat dissatisfied (+2% points).

Q7 All things considered, how satisfied are you with the service provided by Washington State Ferries?
Riders on the Fauntleroy/Vashon route saw the greatest negative increase in dissatisfaction (11% point raise) of those routes with large samples. Fauntleroy/Southworth and Port Townsend/Coupeville both had a negative 5% point raise in dissatisfaction over winter 2018 scores.

### Total Dissatisfaction Sorted by Last Route Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Jan 2019</th>
<th>Winter 2018</th>
<th>Shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEMWIDE (n=5,141)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU/VAS (n=427)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJI (n=83)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>+11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOU/VAS (n=41)*</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%*</td>
<td>27%*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA/SJI (n=1,276)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%*</td>
<td>-12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU/SOU (n=217)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT/COU (n=234)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTD/TAH (n=108)*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>19%*</td>
<td>11%*</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA/BC (n=50)*</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA/BREM (n=404)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA/BAIN (n=981)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>+4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDM/KIN (n=547)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUK/CLI (n=773)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Small Sample Size

Q7 All things considered, how satisfied are you with the service provided by Washington State Ferries (by last route ridden Q10)?
Trips in the Last 12 Months
When asked which routes they have ridden for any purpose in the last 12 months, approximately half have ridden the Seattle/Bainbridge (50%) and Edmonds/Kingston (49%). One in three (30%) have taken Coupeville/Port Townsend (30%) and one in four have taken Seattle/Bremerton (25%) and Mukilteo/Clinton (25%).

**Overall Ridership in Last 12 Months**

(multiple routes mentioned)

- Seattle/Bainbridge (n=1,982): 50%
- Edmonds/Kingston (n=2,004): 49%
- Coupeville/Pt. Townsend (n=1,481): 30%
- Seattle/Bremerton (n=976): 25%
- Mukilteo/Clinton (n=1,255): 25%
- Anacortes/San Juan (n=1,872): 22%
- Fauntleroy/Vashon (n=810): 17%
- Fauntleroy/Southworth (n=608): 13%
- Southworth/Vashon (n=572): 12%
- Point Defiance/Tahlequah (n=511): 11%
- San Juan Interisland (n=875): 8%
- Anacortes/Sidney BC (n=367): 4%

Q8 To get an idea of how people are using the ferry system: Which of the following route(s) have you **ridden for ANY PURPOSE in the last 12 months**?
Almost nine in ten (88%) had been the driver in a vehicle in the last 12 months. Three out of four have been a walk-on (75%) or a passenger in a vehicle (72%) in the last 12 months. Bike-on was mentioned by one in ten people (8%) while 14% said they rode a bus / transit / shuttle vehicle in the last 12 months.

**Boarding Methods Used Over Last 12 Months**

- **Vehicle Driver (n=4,792)**: 88%
- **Walk-on (n=3,681)**: 75%
- **Passenger in a Vehicle (n=3,525)**: 72%
- **Rode on in a bus/transit (including shuttle vehicles) (n=429)**: 14%
- **Bike-on (n=450)**: 8%
- **Rider on a Motorcycle (n=291)**: 5%
- **Rider in a Registered Van/Car Pool (n=91)**: 2%

*Q9 In the last 12 months, which of the following *ways have you boarded* a ferry? Did you board as a …*
Most Recent Trip
The most recent trip was weighted by actual WSF ridership data. Overall there is very little difference in actual ridership for all of 2018 versus just the 2018 winter period.

Q10. Now focusing in on your most recent ferry trip, what was the last route that you rode? (Question used in weighting data to reflect actual traffic count by route during winter period)
For the most part, the trip purpose in January 2019 and Winter 2018 are the same. The most notable difference is more travel to see family/friends or recreation in January 2019 than Winter 2018. There was less use of multi-ride tickets and more use of senior/disabled tickets in January 2019 than Winter 2018.

### Most Recent Trip Purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>2019 January (n=5,141)</th>
<th>2018 Winter (n=3,627)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family/friends</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work commute</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical appointment</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work related</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special events</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping excursion</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday shopping</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School commute</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Most Recent Trip Ticket Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ticket Type</th>
<th>2019 January (n=5,141)</th>
<th>2018 Winter (n=3,627)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single ride</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-ride</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior/disabled</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartcard/ORCA</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly pass</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Ticket</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puget Pass</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q11 Thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the {most recent} route, which of the following was the PRIMARY PURPOSE for that specific trip?

Q14 Finally, thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the {most recent} route, on what kind of ticket were you traveling?
Vehicle ridership onto WSF ferries is comparable between January 2019 and Winter 2018 with only slightly less mid-size vehicles.

### Most Recent Trip

#### Outbound Boarding Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>2019 January</th>
<th>2018 Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car driver</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger in car</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk on</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rode bus</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rode Van/Car-pool</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Trip Vehicle Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2019 January</th>
<th>2018 Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid-size Vehicle</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Vehicle &lt;14ft</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-size Vehicle 22+ft</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanpool</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q12 Thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the {most recent} route, how did you board the ferry for your outbound and returning trips?

Q13 Thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the {most recent} route, which of the following best describes the vehicle you drove on the ferry?
Sample Makeup – Demographics

**Gender**
- Male: 43%
- Female: 57%

**Employment**
- Employed fulltime: 51%
- Employed parttime: 11%
- Student and employed: 1%
- Student not employed: 1%
- Homemaker: 3%
- Military personnel: 0%
- Retired: 31%
- Not currently employed: 2%

**Education**
- No College Degree: 28%
- 4 YR Degree: 36%
- Post Grad: 36%

**Age**
- 18-44: 18%
- 45-54: 16%
- 55-64: 28%
- 65+: 38%

**Ethnicity**
- Caucasian: 90%
- Hispanic: 2%
- African American: 1%
- Asian: 3%
- Native American: 2%
- Other: 2%
Sample Makeup - Weighting Methodology

In order to make the survey results proportionate to ferry ridership as a whole, it was necessary to weight the data by route based on their last trip taken to match WSF’s 2018 actual traffic numbers. The weighting scheme used is displayed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Veh. 0-14’ Full</th>
<th>Veh. 0-14’ Multi</th>
<th>Veh. 14-22’ Full</th>
<th>Veh. 14-22’ Multi</th>
<th>Over Size 22-50’</th>
<th>Veh Other Disc</th>
<th>Veh Psngr Full</th>
<th>Veh Psngr Multi</th>
<th>Veh Psngr Other Disc</th>
<th>Foot Full</th>
<th>Foot Multi</th>
<th>Foot Other Disc</th>
<th>Foot Transt Pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea/Brem</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea/Bain</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD/Tah</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edm/King</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fau/Vashon</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fau/Sworth</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sworth/Vas</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coup/Pt.T</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muk/Clin</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ana/SJI</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJ Interisland</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ana/B.C.</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questionnaire

F43 - 2019 WSF POLICY STUDY FINAL v12
(Using FROG Panel)

[GENERAL QUESTIONS]

Q1. Of these four general areas, in your opinion, which one issue or project should WSF focus on to improve its service? (Check one)
1. Expanded service schedule for current routes
2. Improve terminal facilities
3. Build new ferries
4. Rebuild/repair ferries
5. Don't know
6. All of these
7. I DO NOT WANT/HAVE TIME TO DO THIS POLICY SURVEY
8. Other area WSF should focus on to improve its service (Please specify here: )

[SKIP TO 2]

Q2. Please rank the following amenities from most (Top Priority For You) to least (Do Not Care About It At All) desired by you (or you can make it anywhere in between)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Lowest</th>
<th>Do not care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Me</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Middle Priority</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>about it at all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Park & ride lots
2. Overhead walkways for walk-ons
3. Heated walkways for walk-ons
4. Free WiFi
5. Secure bicycle storage areas at terminals
6. Secure bicycle storage area on boats
7. Professional loading for cargo
8. Designated terminal pick-up/drop-off areas
9. Parking lot reservations
10. Charging stations for personal electronics (WSF added)
11. Ability to use Good to Go account (FAC added)
12. Safer loading/unloading for bicyclists (FAC added)
13. Electric vehicle charging stations (FAC added)

Q3. How concerned are you about WSF's carbon footprint and WSF's general impact on the environment? Would you say you are... (select one)
1. Very concerned
2. Somewhat concerned
3. Slightly concerned
4. Not at all concerned
5. Don't know this impact (Would like more information before I can make my decision) (FAC added)

[FUNDING QUESTIONS] (added by WSTC)

Q4. Current ferry fares fund about 70-75% of ferry operations and maintenance of vessels and terminals but do not provide for
long-term capital improvements or replacement (these could include non-operational items like new vessels, terminals, parking structure, etc.).
Which of the following increases in funding sources, if any, would you recommend be explored and possibly considered to
fund the long-term capital needs of the ferry system?
(please check as many as you would like to see used)

1. Increase the statewide sales tax
2. Increase the state-wide gas tax
3. Establish a new statewide tax dedicated to funding ferry capital needs
4. Increase vehicle registration fees
5. Establish a new fee in Western Washington ferry-serve communities
6. None of the above
7. I don't think WSF has a funding problem
8. Not sure
9. Other funding source WSF should explore (please specify funding source here)

Q5. Currently a surcharge of $0.25 per ticket is dedicated to help fund new ferries.
How much more would you be willing to pay, provided this funding continues to be dedicated to new ferries?

1. $0.00 (I want the $0.25 removed)
2. $0.25 per ticket (Keep the current $0.25 ticket – no increase)
3. $0.50 per ticket
4. $0.75 per ticket
5. $1.00 per ticket
6. $1.25 per ticket
7. $1.75 per ticket
8. Other amount (please specific amount here)

Q48. At $0.25 per ticket the surcharge will raise about $4 million per year. The cost of a new 144-car ferry costs about $180 million.
Knowing this, would you support the surcharge of $0.25 per ticket being increased if it continues to be dedicated to new ferries?

1. Yes, support an increase
2. Maybe - It depends on the amount
3. No, do not support an increase
4. (FAC added)
### Questionnaire

#### Q6b. Up to what amount could you support the surcharge being increased to?

1. $0.50/offer
2. $0.75/offer
3. $1.00/offer
4. $1.25/offer
5. $1.50/offer
6. Other amount (please specify amount here)

#### [USAGE AND LAST ROUTE QUESTIONS (USED FOR WEIGHTING)]

**Q7.** All things considered, how satisfied are you with the service provided by Washington State Ferries?

1. Extremely dissatisfied
2. Somewhat dissatisfied
3. Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
4. Somewhat satisfied
5. Extremely satisfied
6. (Did not ride WSF during the last 12 months) (SKIP TO Q28)

**Q8.** To get an idea of how people are using the ferry system:

Which of the following route(s) have you ridden for ANY PURPOSE in the last 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Tacoma</td>
<td>6 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Angeles/Vashon</td>
<td>7 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupeville/Port Townsend</td>
<td>8 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Harbor/Southworth</td>
<td>9 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/San Juan Islands</td>
<td>10 times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q9.** In the last 12 months, which of the following have you boarded a ferry? Did you board as a:

1. Vehicle driver
2. Passenger in vehicle
3. Rider on a motorcycle
4. Rider on a registered van-car pool
5. Walk-on
6. Biked-on
7. Rode on a bus/transit (including shuttle vehicles)

**Q10.** Please focus on your most recent ferry trip:

What was the last route that you rode for any purpose?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seattle/Tacoma</td>
<td>2 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Angeles/Vashon</td>
<td>3 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupeville/Port Townsend</td>
<td>4 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Harbor/Southworth</td>
<td>5 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacortes/San Juan Islands</td>
<td>6 times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q11.** Thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the ($custom1) route...

Which of the following was the PRIMARY PURPOSE for that specific trip?

1. Commute to/from work
2. Commute to/from school
3. Work related activity/business
4. Personal business activity
5. Medical appointment
6. Everyday shopping
7. Shopping excursion
8. Tour/Leisure
9. Travel to/from special event
10. Travel to/from to see family/friends
11. Other - please specify

**Q12.** Thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the ($custom1) route...

How did you board that ferry?

1. Vehicle driver
2. Passenger in a vehicle
3. Rider on a motorcycle (Skip to Q14)
4. Rider on a registered van-car pool (including Uber/Lyft) (Skip to Q14)
5. Walk-on (Skip to Q14)
6. Biked-on (Skip to Q14)
7. Rode on a bus/transit (including shuttle vehicles) (Skip to Q14)
8. Other (Skip to Q14)

**Q13.** Thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the ($custom1) route...

Which of the following best describes the vessel you rode on the ferry?

1. Small (under 14 feet) auto/SUV pick-up (i.e., Kia Soul, Volvo C30, Toyota Yaris, VW Golf, etc.)
2. Regular (14 to 22 feet) auto/SUV pick-up (i.e., Toyota Corolla, Nissan Altima, Ford Taurus, Hyundai Santa Fe, etc.)
3. Full size (over 22 feet) auto/SUV pick-up (i.e., Extended cab pickups, etc.)
4. Truck (conventional panel, van/box) (Pickup)
5. RV or auto-pickup and trailer (under 29 feet)
6. RV or auto-pickup and trailer (29 feet and over)
7. Vanpool
8. Other

**Q14.** Finally, thinking about your LAST FERRY RIDE ONLY on the ($custom1) route...

On what kind of ticket were you traveling?

1. Single-ride ticket (includes Wave2Go, Single-ride ticket) (WSTC added)
2. Multi-ride frequent user ticket (available to all passengers & non-oversized vehicles includes Wave2Go Multi-ride ticket) (WSTC added)
3. Monthly pass (not available to vehicle drivers) (WSTC added)
4. Senior/disabled Conventional Card discount (WSTC added)
5. Seniors Card/Orcas (One Regional Card for All) (WSTC added)
6. Puget Pass
7. Fare (No ticket needed this direction, WSF employee pass, etc.) (WSTC added)
8. Part of another (bus/train/shuttle/water taxi etc) ticket 1 pool (WSTC added)
9. Other - please specify
Questionnaire

Q15 Which of these, if any, would encourage you to walk more rather than drive on (as driver or passenger)? (Check all that apply)

1. Lower walk-on fares
2. Higher drive on fares
3. Better transit connections to/from ferry terminals
4. Lower parking costs at terminal(s)
5. Availability of car-sharing rental services at the terminal
6. - None of the above
7. - Nothing – I will ALWAYS drive on
8. - Nothing – I ALREADY walk on MOST of the time
9. - Other way to encourage me (Please specify way here) ____________________________

Q16 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if the general pick-up and drop-off areas at terminals were improved? Would you say you would be (select one)

1. Not at all more likely (wouldn’t make a difference for me)
2. Slightly more likely
3. Would be more likely
4. Considerably more likely

Q17 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if there was ride-sharing services (like Uber/Lyft/zoom) consistently at your destination terminal? Would you say you would be (select one)

1. Not at all more likely (wouldn’t make a difference for me)
2. Slightly more likely
3. Would be more likely
4. Considerably more likely

Q18 Would you be more likely to walk onto the ferry if there was real-time parking availability information? Would you say you would be (select one)

1. Not at all more likely (wouldn’t make a difference for me)
2. Slightly more likely
3. Would be more likely
4. Considerably more likely

Q19 Over the past few years, passenger fares have been going up at a lower rate than vehicle fares. Has this encouraged you or not to travel more as a foot passenger or to carpool?

1. Yes – Has encouraged me to travel (or continue to travel) more as a foot passenger or to carpool
2. No – Has not encouraged me to travel more as a foot passenger or to carpool
3. Was not aware of the percentage differences in fare increases (thought they went up by the same amount) (FAC added)

Q20 Do you or could you make either a train and/or bus connection as part of your trip on the ferry?

1. Already do train/bus today as part of my ferry travel
2. Could/might do train/bus in the future as part of my ferry travel
3. I would never do train/bus as part of my ferry travel (But if it is available) (SKIP TO Q22)
4. Can’t do train/bus as they are not available to where I need to go (SKIP TO Q22)

Q21 Does the current ferry schedule (departure/arrival) on the route you take most often adequately match up with your needed (or potentially needed) train or bus connection?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure (Don’t know train/bus schedule information)

[PASSENGER ONLY QUESTIONS]

Q22 Would you like to see passenger only ferry service expanded throughout the Puget Sound (including the in San Juans)?

1. Not really
2. Yes – System-wide
3. Yes – Central Puget Sound Only
4. Yes – San Juan Only
5. Maybe (Depends on other factors) such as (Please specify factors here) ____________________________

Q23 If a fleet of passenger only vessels were added to the routes that you typically use, who would you most want to operate them?

1. WSDOT
2. County Governments/transit agencies
3. Private companies
4. Any of the above
5. None of the above (I don’t know who should)
6. None of the above – I think (Please specify who you think should)

[OPEN RESPONSE QUESTIONS]

Q24 What additional expansion or changes in WSF policy would you like to offer WSF and state decision makers that you think would improve or transition the quality of service WSF provides?

1. Nothing, the policies and quality of WSF services are good
2. I would like the following policy changes or suggestions to improve WSF quality of service

Here are my policy changes and/or suggestions:

__________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________
Q25  Do you have any additional thoughts regarding the ferry system or Washington state's transportation system you would like to share?

1. No additional feedback
2. Yes, I have additional feedback, give me a comment box to add them to:

Here is my additional feedback

Q26  Before you hit the "Save Completed Survey" button below:

When would you guess your next ferry trip will happen?

1. Today
2. Sometime this week
3. Sometime this month
4. Sometime this year
5. Never
6. Don't know

END